

RCL 2020 VOTER PREPARATION

Pre-deliberative recorded Video: [Click Here](#)

Warrant Articles

This will be updated for the March Civic News with the final warrant article wording

Pre Deliberative Town Meeting warrant article text: [Click Here](#)

RCL presentation after the Budget presentation: [Click Here](#) about 38 minutes in

[Go to www.ryecivicleague.org](http://www.ryecivicleague.org) election support to
access the links in this document

Contact: civicnews@ryecivicleague.org

Table of Contents

- Elected and Appointed Positions Update
- Warrant Articles
 - Town
 - School

Links to Warrant Article Information

Article 1	Elections	Article 14	Town Employees
Article 2	School	Article 16	Police
Article 3 Amdmt 1	Height	Article 18	DPW Equipment
Article 3 Amdmt 2	IDDE	Article 19	Fire and Ambulance
Article 3 Amdmt 3	Demolition Hardship	Article 20	Dump Truck
Article 3 Amdmt 4	Demolition Review	Article 21	Town Accumulated leave
Article 3 Amdmt 5	ZBA Applications	Article 22	Municipal Buildings
Article 3 Amdmt 6	Wetlands Best Mngmt	Article 23	Jaws of Life
Article 3 Amdmt 7	Sound Level	Article 24	Re-evaluation fund
Article 3 Amdmt 8	Wetland Scientist	Article 25	Library Accumulated leave
Article 3 Amdmt 9	Amenisty	Article 26	Library Maintenance
Article 3 Amdmt 10	Chapter 190 Zoning	Article 27	Town Budget
Article 3 Amdmt 11	Wireless overlay	Article 28	Clean General Code
Article 4 Amdmt 1	Permits for tents	Article 29	One Church Rd
Article 4 Amdmt 2	Tents 2	Article 30	16 Cable Rd No parking
Article 4 Amdmt 3	Chapter 35 Building	Article 31	Ocean Blvd North
Article 5 Amdmt 1	Chapter 60 Floodplain	Article 32	Perkins Rd
Article 6	\$3M Conservation	Article 33	Parking clean up
Article 7	Parsonage exchange	Article 34	No Dogs until 9pm Sat.
Article 8	\$15.6K Survey	Article 35	Keep parking
Article 9	Trolley Barn sale	Article 36	Locke to Ocean
Article 10	RTTC TAP \$300K	School 1	Budget
Article 11	\$350K Salt Shed	School 2:	Collective Bargain
Article 12	Fire Fighters	School 4	Maintenance Fund

Position	Candidate(s) on ballot
1 Board of Selectmen (3 years)	Phil Winslow
1 Town Clerk/Tax Collector (3 years)	Donna DeCotis
2 Budget Committee (2 seats, 3 years)	Jaci Grote, Jeffrey Ross, John Loftus
1 Cemetery Trustee (3 years)	Roger Philbrick
2 Library Trustees (3 years)	Toral Coweieson, Christine LeBond, Michael Moody
1 Trustees of the Trust Funds (3 years)	Jeffrey Balboni
1 Planning Board (1 seat, 3 years)	Merill Lord
1 Planning Board (1 seat, 2 years)	Katy Sherman
1 Planning Board (1 seat, 1 year)	James Finn, John Loftus
1 Town Moderator (2 years)	Robert G Eaton
2 Zoning Board of Adjustment (2 seats, 3 years)	Shawn Crapo, Charlie Hoyt
Sewer Commission (3 years)	David Kholhase
Supervisor of the Checklist	Madeline Chichester, Joanne Meyer
2 School Board (2 seats, 3 years)	Jeane Moynehan, Matt Curtin, Sharon Sparks

Candidates Night will be March 5th at 7pm at the RJH

Article 3 Amendment 1; 2020-01 Section 304.4 Height [Click Here](#)

We are saying to protect property from rising tides, buildings must be raised by 2 feet. This allows for the same 28 feet of building, plus the two raised feet.

Pros: Provides the same number of feet for the structure.

Cons: If the concern are site lines from other properties, this allows buildings to come up 2 feet.

Article 3 Amendment 2; 2020-02 Illicit Discharge and Elimination Ordinance
not on town website: [Click Here](#)

This comes from the State for construction and development that could result in pollution to public lands.

MS4 is Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) [NHDES](#)

Pros: Puts the zoning regulations in place to be in accordance with the State.

Cons: Does add development costs, but not an option for Rye to be non-compliant.

Article 3 Amendment 3; 2020-04 Unnecessary Hardship [Click Here](#)

Improvement to the language for the Demolition committee. Note that this groups decisions are not binding.

Pros: It makes it consistent with the all other references to Hardship in our ordinance

Cons: it makes it more restrictive for the Historic District Commission

Article 3 Amendment 4; 2020-05 Demolition Review [Click Here](#)

Adds parts of buildings, statues, and monuments as to what can be considered.

Pros: Clarifies the procedures and timetable for a demolition review

Cons: Prefer the confusion

Article 3 Amendment 5; 2020-06 ZBA Hearings [Click Here](#)

Was 21 days, now 45 days as the State RSA was changed. 2019 HSB RSA 676:7

Pros: Gives the ZBA more time as this can be a problem when they are busy..

Cons: Creates a longer time for when residents can get in front of the ZBA to ask for variances.

Article 3 Amendment 6; 2020-07 Wetlands Best Management Practices [Click Here](#)

[Click Here](#) to see the new Best Managed Practices that are now the reference.

Pros: References the most current guidelines

Cons: Only if you are in disagreement as to what is in the new recommendations..

Article 3 Amendment 7; 2020-09 Removes our restrictive sound ordinance [Click Here](#)

The State has said local rules can't be more restrictive than the State.

Pros: Uses the State laws as default

Cons: No longer in local control..

Article 3 Amendment 8; 2020-10 Wetland Scientist Definition [Click Here](#)

Reconciles Rye's definition with the new State Definition.

Pros: Aligns wording with the State definition.

Cons: Could leave our definition all wet..

Article 3 Amendment 9; 2020-11 Amnesty for Illegal apartments [Click Here](#)

As a town can no longer ban Accessory Apartments, this allows those properties to be on the books. Period ends December 21, 2021

Pros: For people that purchased homes without knowing the accessory units were not permitted, this creates a path to rectify this situation.

Cons: There is no penalty or consequence for a current owner who added an accessory unit when they were not allowed.

Article 3 Amendment 10; 2020-12 Zoning Ordinance Chapter 190 [Click Here](#)

[Click Here](#) for wording

Multiple reference numbers and other adjustments made so this fits in the new single structured “General Code”

Pros: There should be no impact on any of the current Zoning Ordinances

Cons: Zoning Ordinances are no longer a separate document

Article 3 Amendment 11; Wireless Overlay District [Click Here](#)

The consultant did a lot of clean up and improvements to the wording. While it was suggested Recreation Road be added, that text is not in the updates.

He did recommend that Rye address small broadcasting cells. Eversource has already installed some and more non-cell tower broadcasting can be coming and Rye has no ordinances to address this.

Pros: Nothing has been added, only improved language.

Cons: It may not be enough, but voting against this will not change that.

Article 4 Amendment 1; Building Code Temporary Tents [Click Here](#)

Makes the code compliant with 2018 State Legislation

Pros: Makes Rye compliant.

Cons: Would leave our code in conflict with the State..

Article 4 Amendment 2; Building Code – Reference Code [Click Here](#)

Changes the reference to: [2018 International Property Maintenance Code](#)

Pros: Puts Rye compliant with the 2018 Sate regulation

Cons: Puts Rye at risk for not being compliant.

Article 4 Amendment 3; Chapter 35 of Code of Rye [Click Here](#)

These are the changes to references so that this can be part of the new general code.

Pros: Part of the process for an organized code.

Cons: Would leave things a mess if voted down.

Article 5 Amendment 1; Chapter 60 Floodplain Development [Click Here](#)

Changes the reference to: [2018 International Property Maintenance Code](#)

Pros: Puts Rye compliant with the 2018 State regulation

Cons: Puts Rye at risk for not being compliant.

2011 Voters say Yes, we should invest in the current building:

Town votes (610 Yes, 455 No) \$40K to study whether the existing Town Hall building is worth renovating and evaluate space needs. AG Architects reports we should invest in the Town Hall building and presents 15,000 sq. ft. plan for renovation and a large connected building.

2012 Voters halt AG Plan, say look at the space we need:

Town voted down (830 No, 484 yes) the \$135K to proceed further with AG Design.

Petitioned Warrant Article passed (1,070 Yes, 268 No) to look at other options and space needs per employee of similar towns. Space Needs Committee (SNC) reduces need to 10,500 sq. ft, estimates \$2.1 million cost.

2013 Funding approved for developing designs:

Town votes (699 Yes, 384 No) \$60,000 for new sample (schematic) designs. New architect (SMP) hired. Town Hall Committee selects option of second building connected with underground passageway.

2014 Funding approved for completing a design :

Town votes (932 Yes, 710 No) \$250,000 to complete the design, now 12,500 sq. ft. Detailed design drawings and associated construction documents are completed by the architect. Project is put out to obtain bids from four pre-selected construction firms.

2015 Town says No to \$4.1 million and BOS launches survey:

Town votes down \$4.1 million (887 No, 575 Yes) for renovating Town Hall and constructing connected building

Town Hall building selected for the Seven to Save State program

Town spends > \$6,000 for survey that provides parameters for moving forward

2016 Town says No to both of the Selectmen's Town Hall articles

Town votes down (856 No, 703 Yes) spending another \$60,000 studying and costing five options for town offices
Town votes down (1261 No, 320 Yes), purchase of the property next to the Public Safety Building for \$460K

2017 Town says No to all but a free design of a Town Hall tear down

BOS-supported tear-down/rebuild replica article failed. Renovate and expand petitioned article failed. Each got only 22%.

Article to spend \$500K for Town Hall painting, ADA and energy efficiency improvements failed but got 45% of the vote.

Free design work to tear down Town Hall passed with 55% of the vote.

2018 Town says No TD Bank, No Tear Down

Petitioned warrant to tear down Town Hall and build a new building gets only 45%, 60% was needed.

Purchasing of the TD Bank property fails, getting 45%.

2019 Town says No to \$3.5M but OK to architect

Petitioned warrant to spend \$3.5 M defeated.

Select Board article to fund an architect to evaluate options for using the Town Hall space approved for \$20K

Resident funded and executed PlanNH Charette completed. Select Board creates Town Hall Center Committee to move forward.

Trolley Barn/Police Station as office space:

\$422K to repurpose the existing building

\$400K to \$500K to rebuild on that site

Departments moved:

Sewer Department has moved to Rye Beach Village District Building

Recreation has moved to the old modulars at the Recreation area

Buildable space we paid for:

Public Safety Building included \$40,000 additional structural cost so that the space above the apparatus bay could be used for future use. See space possibilities [Click Here](#)

Article 6: \$3,000,000 To replenish the Conservation Commission fund for acquiring lands

Click Here for the full text

[Click Here](#) for the below table

[Click Here](#) for Deliberative Presentation

Background:

\$5M in 2003 and \$3M in 2014 has been leveraged well over the past 17 years.

Rye Conservation Commission Bond Funding						
Dates	Transaction	Town Bonded Amount	Leveraged Funding Amount	Property Purchase Amount	Remaining Amount	Comment
2003 to 2013	Rye Town Bonding	\$ 5,000,000				Leveraged by approximate 50%
2003 to 2013	Various Property Purchases			\$ 5,000,000		
2014	Rye Town Bonding	\$ 3,000,000				
2016	73 acre Rand property purchase			\$ 1,250,000		
2016	Amount received from NRCS for Rand Property		\$ 139,220			Natural Resource Conservation Services. Wetland Resource Easement Program
2016	Amount received from ARM for Rand Property		\$ 121,000			Dept. of Environmental Services. Aquatic Resource Mitigation Program
2016	28 acre Farm Property purchase on South Rd.			\$ 350,000		
2018	58 acre purchased from Falzone on South Road			\$ 300,000		
2019	3 Acre purchase of Brown Orchard property			\$ 4,000		
2019	3/4 acre Willow Rd. purchase.			\$ 10,000		New water resource protection mapping. Priority Protection Parcel
2020	79 acre Brown property purchase on West Rd.			\$ 625,000		
2020	Obligated WRE matching funding for Brown property		\$ 550,000			89% of funding covered from NRCS with WRE program. Possible additional funding to come.
Totals		\$ 8,000,000	\$ 810,220	\$ 7,539,000	\$ 1,271,220	
2020	2020 Bonding proposal	\$ 3,000,000				

Currently in negotiation for 2 additional large properties

Article 7: TD Bank and Parsonage Exchange

Select Board has signed this agreement which this article will ratify [Click Here](#)


Both properties were assessed: [Click Here](#)

This exchanges ownership of the properties and grants 500 Washington LLC a septic system easement

Why is part

Pro: The Town takes ownership of the TD Bank and Removed the liability of maintaining the Parsonage

Con: There is no long term plan, the Parsonage Building is removed as an option. Select Board has discussed moving town offices there and that may not be the best strategic decision.



Article 8: \$15,600

Background: The town and library jointly own the 1.9 acre property that houses Parsonage, Rye History Museum and Library. The Parsonage and the Museum share a septic system and leach field. Funds are for engineering work to subdivide +0.4 parcel including the Parsonage and Carriage house. NH DES approval is needed for this.

2015 Parsonage Condition Assessment.. [Click Here](#)

2016 Parsonage Committee Report: [Click Here](#)

Pro: If the town is to no longer own the Parsonage and Carriage House this work is required.


Con: If the town decides to retain ownership of the Parsonage and Carriage House, then this will be wasted money.



Article 9: Selling the Old Trolley Barn/Police Station for not less than \$390,000

Facts:

- Town has been “sitting” on this asset since 2004 (16 years)
- Lot is approximately ¼ of an Acre with 70 ft. of frontage
- Building is 2,650 sq. ft. (exterior area)
- 2007 Warrant Article 18 to turn over to Rye Water passed but was never implemented
- 2013 Town spent \$9,800 for a study of Trolley Barn possible uses. [Click Here](#)
- Mold is the major concern, due to many years unoccupied without heating system
- Renovation cost \$192K to \$422K depending on use (storage vs. offices/programs)
- Report says soil can support a septic system
- Ten parking spaces would be available, two ADA-compliant
- 2019 Article 2018 said Town can sell, but needs an appraisal
- Senior Serve has been keeping the Van here for several years
- Assurances that if property is sold, a place would be found for the Senior Serve van



Article 9: Selling the Old Trolley Barn/Police Station for not less than \$390,000

We will now have an appraisal – this will be amended at the Deliberative meeting.

At meetings the Select Board have stated that the funds from this sale could be applied to renovating the TD Bank if the exchange is approved. Town Hall employees will be moved to the TD Bank.

This article will be amended at the Deliberative Meeting by the Select Board.

Pro: Finally takes definitive action after 16 years.

Con: You feel that an appraisal should be completed before selling. Or you would like to see the town find space

Article 10 Side Walk Improvements

Town Hall Center Committee is suggesting safety and sidewalk improvements. Town is applying for Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) Grant which would pay \$500K of the \$800K project. Rye must have the \$300K Matching Funds

Proposed Work

- Sidewalk along Washington Road from Wallis Rd to Town Hall
- Dedicated bike lanes on each side of Washington Rd
- Enhanced and possibly relocated sidewalks
- Lighting and landscaping

Pictures and a presentation will be made at the Town Deliberative Meeting.

[Schematic](#)

[Washington Rd Today](#)

[Future look](#)

Pro: This will enable the town to begin with this work and leverage State funding

Con: There is no final plan, nor how a final plan will be funded

The darker the blue, the higher the transmissivity.
Transfer Station is 1,610 ft. uphill from the Garland Well



See the Water in Rye presentation to see more information about our Hydrology.

[Click Here](#)

DPW washed the “blue safe paths paint” near the Salt shed and the paint leaked from the trucks and ran down towards the aquifer. (August 21, 2018 photo)



Article 11: \$350,000 Salt Shed site work [Click Here](#)

This is only the site work. The actual shed would be another \$350,000.

Background:

2005 Salt Shed Replacement Fund created: \$92,705 is in the Fund today
\$25 was approved in 2017 (1017 to 541) for design and bidding

2019 \$700K fails as 3/5 is needed: Yes: 920 No: 711

2018 \$700K fails as 3/5 is needed Yes: 820 No: 741:

Pro: As a warrant article only 50% is needed to pass, so this gets the work started.

Con: 2020 taxes will pay for ½ of the cost of this project vs. spreading the costs over multiple years of residence payments.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION & RATIONALE

The current salt shed is small and deteriorated. It only holds enough salt to address four storms. The town handles twenty to twenty-four storms on average each year. In addition, the salt shed, as is the entire DPW site, is located within the Rye Water District's environmentally sensitive well head protection area. This environmental concern requires that salt be stored and handled under cover and on an impervious surface. In 2017 the Town Meeting approved the withdrawal of \$25,000 from the Salt Shed Replacement Capital Reserve. The Salt Shed design was completed and bid in 2017. Bids came in at approximately \$750,000 including contingencies and engineering. A warrant article for this sum was placed on the 2018 and 2019 ballot. The article received a simple majority vote but required a 3/5 super-majority in order to secure bonding. It is anticipated it will be on the ballot again in 2020.



Article 12: \$17,778 for 2020 Salary and Benefit for Fire Fighter

This is good for the Fire Fighters since it prevents part-time or call people from preplacing full time positions. Continues the Healthcare stipend.

This is good for the town since it moves the Healthcare Cost Share from 85/15 to 80/20 in 2020

2020: 9 month adjustment is a \$17,778 this is a 2.75% increase

2020 Salary and Benefits will be:

2021: 12 months at 2.75% is \$23,242 to salary and benefits

2022: 12 months at 2.75% is \$20,189 to salary and benefits cost

Pro: You approve of the contract.

Con: You do not approve of the contract.

Article 14 \$18,241 for 2020 Salary and Benefits for Town Employees

This is good for the Employees as it: Increases boot allowance to \$250. Increased meal allowance to \$12. Healthcare stipend increases.

This is good for the Town since it makes it more clear on accrual of vacation time. New language for absences prior to or after a holiday. Eliminates the ½% salary increase for top step employees. Moves healthcare cost share to 80/20.

2020: 9 month adjustment is a \$18,241 this is a 2.75% increase

2020 Salary and Benefits will be:

2021: 12 months at 2.75% is \$21,130 to salary and benefits

2022: 12 months at 2.75% is \$22,590 to salary and benefits cost

Pro: You support the contract terms.

Con: You do not support the contract terms.

Article 16: \$21,331 for 2020 Salary and Benefit for Police

This is good for the Police Department since it increases the detail rate by \$1 a year. This gets passed on to who pays for the detail. Continues the Healthcare stipend.

This is good for the town since it: Clarifies the accrual language and moves healthcare share cost to 80/20.

2020: 9 month adjustment is a \$21,331 this is a 2.75% increase

2020 Salary and Benefits will be:

2021: 12 months at 2.75% is \$25,155 to salary and benefits

2022: 12 months at 2.75% is \$25,826 to salary and benefits cost

Pro: You support this contract.

Con: You do not support this contract.

Article 18: DPW Highway Equipment Capital Reserves

<u>Department Designation</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Plate</u>	<u>Purchase Year</u>	<u>Purchase Price</u>	<u>Replacement Cost</u>	<u>Service Life</u>	<u>Replacement Life</u>	<u>Replacement Year</u>
107	7400	Six Wheel Dump	G00554	2009	\$70,664	\$175,000	15	13	2022
113	F450	One Ton Dump	G22237	2010	\$63,592	\$70,000	10	9	2020
114	F450	One Ton Rack	G23506	2012	\$63,748	\$70,000	10	10	2022
116	F450	One Ton Dump	G24147	2014	\$65,569	\$70,000	10	9	2024
117	F350	Utility Truck	G25346	2015	\$62,883	\$70,000	10	9	2025
206	W/C-17	Chipper	G22264	1989	\$14,500	\$30,000	30	36	2025
204	IT28F	Wheel Loader	G10323	1996	\$114,960	\$0	30	30	2026
207	310SJ	Backhoe	G18291	2011	\$113,230	\$150,000	15	15	2026
115	7400SFa4	Six Wheel Dump	G24343	2014	\$148,009	\$175,000	15	14	2029
118	7400SFa4	Six Wheel Dump	G25588	2016	\$174,000	\$175,000	15	15	2031
209		Mower/Tractor		2018	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	15	2033
210		Skid Steer		2018	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	15	2033
208	L60G	Wheel Loader	G24341	2014	\$177,839	\$200,000	30	30	2044
119	7400Fa4	Six Wheel Dump	G00556	2017	\$171,000	\$175,000	15	15	
					TOTAL REPLACE. COST	\$1,440,000			
					AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE	15			
					MIN. YEARLY CONTRIBUTION	\$96,000			

Article 18: DPW Highway Equipment Capital Reserves

DPW:

- ~ \$1.4M of DPW trucks/equipment for the transfer station and road maintenance. Lifetimes vary, but a rolling replacement plan works out to about a \$100,000 per year.
- Creates a balanced approach of rotating out the oldest equipment as maintenance costs and inconveniences increase. It also smooths taxes by allowing contributions each tax year to this capital equipment reserve.
- DPW staff plans on purchasing one vehicle a year, each purchase consumes a fair amount of DPW time and effort, so multiple purchases in a year should be avoided

Current Fund Balance is **\$237,425**

Pros: Annual funding keeps the tax rate steady despite periodic large ticket items purchased (six wheel dump trucks, heavy equipment for Transfer Station)

Cons: Minimal interest earned on money set aside. Reserve may create the impression that equipment purchases are without cost as money has already been set aside.

What do we already own: [Click Here](#)

- 1) Ambulance 1 2008 Chevrolet C-4500 w/ Advanced Life Support
- 2) Ambulance 2 2017 Ford F550 4 wheel drive w/ Advanced Life Support
- 3) Engine 3 2003 Emergency 1 Typhoon
- 4) Engine 1 1994 KME Navstar Pumper
- 5) New Pumper/Ladder (Due in May 2020:
- 6) Utility 1 2006 4X4 Chevrolet Pick-up
- 7) Air 1 – Breathing Air Unit – One of three units in the 42 town district
- 8) 1931 Ford Antique engine

[Click Here](#) to see this table

Table produced by Steven Borne for the Select Board October 10, 2018

Category	Rye	N. Hampton	Greenland	New Castle	4 Town Total	Portsmouth II	Hookset	Windham	Exeter	Milford
Polulation	5,440	4,456	4,035	979	14,910		14,175	14,562	15,082	15,449
Ambulance (Rescue)	2	1	1	1	5	1	3	2	2	1
Engine - Pumper	2	2	2	2	8		4	2	4	3
Ladder	1	1			2	2	1	1	1	1
Pumper/Tanker		2	1		3		1	2		
Command Vehicle	1	2	1		4			3	3	2
Utility Vehicle	1	1	1		3	2	1	1	2	
Forestry Unit		1		1	2	1	2	1		1
Air Unit	1				1		1			
Marine - Boat				1	1		1	1		
Hose Truck				1	1					
John Deere Gator							1			
Resue or Maintenance Truck								1	1	
HazMat Truck						1				

Article 19: Fire and Ambulance Capital Reserve fund**Fire & Ambulance::**

- \$50K a year comes from the Grove Rd. Cell Tower that goes into the separate Ambulance & Fire Vehicle Fund

Current Balance of Fire: **\$204,153**

Pros: Annual funding keeps the fund growing, as we should be accumulating money each year for the equipment that we will need in the future.

Cons: Prefer that we just borrow the money when needed and pay principal and interest, or feel that the new ladder truck is sufficient to modernize our fleet for the near future and we don't need to leave money in the funds, in light of the \$50K/year coming in from the Grove Rd. cell tower.

Fun Fact: Not including the towns \$2,211,304 un-assigned fund balance, there is \$1,856,265 in Reserve Funds. So that is almost \$4M in funds.

Article 20: \$70,000 Purchase and replace Dump Truck

Current truck is 10 years old and that is the estimated service life of this truck.

Pro: The maintenance costs for this truck may begin to escalate. While more years could be squeezed, the DWP does not have staff to add multiple vehicles in a year (this caused problems a few years ago)

Con: You feel we should drive all of the vehicles into the ground to help get the most out of each vehicle that is payed off.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION & RATIONALE

Truck # 113 is a Ford F-450 purchased in 2010 for \$63,592. It is currently 9 years old and has 66,000 miles on it. Its service life expectancy should be 10 years. This truck is scheduled for replacement in 2020. Replacement cost with chassis, dump body, frame, plow and spreader is anticipated to be \$70,000. It is currently in fair shape.



Article 22: \$35,000 for Municipal Buildings and Maintenance

Current Balance: **\$122,206 currently**

Select Board is discussed projects that will deplete much of this budget.

Pros: Allows multiple fiscal years to share the cost of maintenance.

Cons: Projects are not listed and discussed like they are for the school.

Article 23: \$35K Jaws of Life

Current tool is nine years old and does not work on all new models of vehicles.

Neighboring towns may have these, but seconds could matter in an emergency.

Pro: If it was your car that the old tool did not work on, you would want us to have this new tool.

Con: The current tool is adequate.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION & RATIONALE

Currently, our rescue tool has been in service over 10 years. The original technology used at the time of purchase has become antiquated. There are certain areas of common vehicles the tool will no longer cut. The new tool will bridge that gap. We propose funding the projected cost of \$35,000 through CRF.



Article 24: Accumulating Re-Evaluation funds \$30,000

Property Re-evaluation needs to be repeated each year. 201x Re-evaluation cost was \$xxxx

What happens each year?

Fund is so that 100% of the cost is not absorbed in a single tax year

Pro: Reduced the 5th year cost of funding the re-evaluation.

Con: There is nothing wrong with a 5th year spike and that is better than just having money sit in a fund for four years.

Article 21: Town Accumulated Leave Fund: \$50,000
Current Balance: **\$184,228** Current Liability: \$?

Article 25: Library Accumulated Leave Fund: \$10,000
Current Balance: **\$10,021** Current Liability: \$?

The fund was created in 2018 and \$10,000 was put in it. Plan is to fund at \$10K per year until the fund is at 50% of the liability.

Article 26: Rye Pubic Library Building Maintenance Fund:
Current Balance: **\$29,866**

Pro: You want to help the town accrue funds.

Con: You prefer all of these costs get paid out of the current year.

Article 27: Town Budget

See RCL Town Budget analysis: [Click Here](#)

Recorded Video of presentation: Budget is second [Click Here](#)

Proposed budget, excluding Warrant Articles: **\$10,320,486**

Default budget: **\$9,598,744**

Default budget is effective if the proposed budget fails to pass.

The proposed budget may be amended, up or down, at the Deliberative Session. The default budget may not be changed. It is about \$550K less than the proposed budget.

Pro: You support the entire 20200 budget plan

Con: You do not approve of the spending and prefer the town operate under the default budget

No more helter skelter – all of our “Ordinances and Codes” will be in a single structure. [Click Here](#)
More information: [Click Here](#)

Part I: Ordinances

Alarms to Zoning

Part of this process required changes to the Flood Plan, Land Development Regulations these changes are articles:.....

Part II: Zoning Board and Planning Board Rules of Procedure

Rye Beach Village District

Appendix: - Select Board Polices and statutes adopted.

Pros: This is a big leap forward and will remove the cause and confusion caused by conflicts and different documents.

Cons: Smaller documents are more manageable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: TOWN ORDINANCES

1.	General Provisions.....	1:1
6.	Alarm Systems.....	6:1
9.	Alcoholic Beverages.....	9:1
	Article I Beaches and Parking Lots	
	Article II Public Consumption and Open Containers	


Article 29 Adding vote to support current no parking sigs

No parking is posted on Ocean Blvd to 1 Church Rd, but there is no record of a town vote.

Current no parking will be made permanent

Pro: You want there to be a town vote to support these signs.

Con: You feel there should be non-resident parking
Allowed on this side of the street.




Article 30 Making parking Permit only

While there should only be parking on the pavement. Visitors have been less than respectful to the property owners. Those with Rye Beach stickers will be more respectful.

Amended at the Deliberative meeting to say changes need to be made based on a traffic study.

Pro: You support helping protect the property of these residents.
It also creates more resident parking.

Con: Removes free parking for non-residents



Article 31: There are signs, but was not voted on

There are signs that say no parking on the North side of Ocean Blvd from Concord Point to 1214 Ocean Blvd. It has been the practice to allow voters to approve parking, this was a past oversight.

Pro: Support having logged town vote behind this parking rule.

Con: Feel that there should be parking or that town vote is not required.



Article 32 There are signs, but was not voted on

This was implemented last year and the town vote moves it from a Select Board ordinance to part of the parking code..

Pro: You feel that this change has made it safer on Perkins Rd.

Con: Reduces non-resident parking.



Article 33 New Section to cover what is already posted

These areas are already designated no parking, but there was not a town vote to update the ordinance.

Pro: Creates a voting record for the no parking.

Con: You don't think all no parking has to be voted on.

Article 34: Making times permanent

The summer of 2019 dogs where not allowed on the beach until 9pm vs. 7pm on all other nights

Pro: You fee this worked out well last year.

Con: You have a dog that pines to be at the beach on Sumer Saturday evenings.



Article 35: Making parking available when possible

This article was gutted at the Deliberative Meeting. Only the first and last lines remain.

Pro: You want it more difficult for the town to restrict beach parking.


Con: You want the town to minimize the impact to residents from maximum parking.

Article 36: Have no parking on Locke Rd to Ocean Blvd

Amended at the Deliberative meeting so that the Select Board can “study” this question. Historically the “study” is a brief discussion and this article is put to rest.

Pro: You want it more difficult for the town to restrict beach parking.

Con: You want the town to minimize the impact to residents from maximum parking.



Article 37: Allows the Selectmen to sell Surplus Equipment

Note: A town employee has been bidding \$1 on many items that the town auctions, so he gets, for essentially nothing, all items that do not receive other bids.

Pro: Would permit the Town to receive revenues from surplus equipment during the year, without needing to wait for Town Meeting approval.

Con: Without the scrutiny provided by the Town Meeting, equipment could be sold for less than its fair market value. Trade in offer for ambulance was lower than expected. This underscores the need to obtain an appraisal if multiple bids cannot be used to establish the value.

School Article 1: Operating Budget of \$14,404,116

See RCL Budget analysis: [Click Here](#)

Deliberative meeting video: [Click Here](#)

Pros: Keeps School from utilizing Default Budget of \$14,164,367.

Cons: Requires School Board and administration to use the default budget.

School Article 2: Teachers (support staff) contract \$187,148 this yearFuture increases

2020-2021	\$187,148
2021-2022	\$164,745
2022-2023	\$173,071
2023-2024	\$173,712
2024-2025	\$182,782

For the Town:

- Stability -- this five year agreement
- Encourages retention (and attraction) of high quality staff
- Financially responsible—we continue to control insurance costs and the salary increase only modestly outpaces the average cost of living increases

For the Teachers

- Stability - this is a five-year contract
- Salary increases each year of the contract
- Improved clarity in a variety of areas of the contract (transfers and reassignments, bereavement, length of workday etc.)
- Compensation for continuing education and advanced degrees

School Article 4: Topping up the Maintenance rust

Current Balance: **\$144,021**

Planned expenditures

If there is a surplus at the end of the school year, an amount can be moved to this fund to raise it to \$150,000

Pro: We learned it can be costly not to have the money to fix things properly (the money we spent on the boilers)

Con: You do not want surplus funds being set aside.

This Presentation

Was created by the Rye Civic League to help taxpayers be prepared for the deliberative town meeting

The Rye Civic League publishes the monthly Rye Civic News. You can add yourself to the Rye Civic News at www.ryecivicleague.org