A five-member Select Board (or any governing board, for that matter) comes with its own set of pros and cons. Here's a breakdown:

Pros:

1. Diverse Perspectives:

 A larger group can bring a wider range of ideas, viewpoints, and expertise, leading to more thoughtful decision-making.

2. Better Representation:

 More members can represent various segments of the community, ensuring a broader base of constituents feels heard.

3. Shared Responsibility:

Decision-making responsibility is spread out, reducing the burden on any single member.
This can help prevent burnout and ensure that tasks don't fall too heavily on one individual.

4. Checks and Balances:

 A five-member board can provide a better system of checks and balances, as it's harder for one person or a small group to dominate the decision-making process.

5. Increased Legitimacy:

 With more members, decisions are often perceived as more legitimate and less susceptible to being influenced by personal interests or biases.

Cons:

1. Potential for Gridlock:

With more members, there's a higher chance of disagreement, which can lead to stalemates.
If there's a 3-2 split on key issues, progress can be delayed or halted.

2. Slower Decision-Making:

 More members often means longer meetings, more discussion, and potentially slower decision-making processes. This can make it harder to act quickly in times of urgency.

3. Increased Complexity in Coordination:

 Managing a group of five can be more complex than a smaller board, requiring more time for communication, organization, and alignment on issues.

4. Risk of Fragmentation:

 With multiple voices, it can sometimes be challenging to build consensus or present a unified front to the community, leading to perceived or actual fragmentation in leadership.

5. Potential for Increased Conflict:

• With more members, there's a greater potential for interpersonal conflicts or divisions, which could affect the board's overall effectiveness and the public's perception of its leadership.